# Annex 1: Matrix of objectives, evaluation criteria and evaluation questions

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Objectives** | **Criteria** | **Questions** |
| 1. To assess the relevance, coherence, effectiveness and sustainability of SNI in strengthening SRHR knowledge networks, access, generation, sharing and application in the seven hubs and internationally over the five years of implementation (2020-2024). | Relevance | 1. Does SNI’s intervention as formulated in the ToC respond to the knowledge needs and priorities of SRHR researchers, practitioners, policy makers and end beneficiaries?  * If so, how and do differences in the level of responding to needs and priorities exist between different stakeholders? * If not, why not?  1. Was the intervention adapted to the different country contexts and to possible changes in those contexts?  * If so, how? * If not, why not? |
| Coherence | 1. Is SNI compatible with other relevant SRHR and knowledge management interventions at country level , namely:  * national or sectoral SRHR policies, programmes or networks? * other SRHR programmes funded by MFA through their strategic partnerships or through the embassies? * other programmes or projects of the hub’s member or host organizations? |
| Effectiveness | 1. Has SNI achieved effects, both intended and unintended (positive- and or negative), regarding the strengthening of:  * SRHR knowledge networks, * knowledge access, * knowledge generation, * knowledge sharing * knowledge application to policy and practice, * and in relation to its different target groups (researchers, practitioners and policymakers)? |
| 2. To assess the validity of the causal pathways linking activities, outputs and outcomes in SNI’s Theory of Change, and their underlying assumptions, and the extent to which they were adapted to the different country contexts. | Effectiveness | 1. How have these effects been achieved, and how are they related to activities and outputs along SNI’s ToC pathways, and to other factors, internal or external? 2. How relevant and valid are the assumptions underlying the programme's theory of change globally and in different country contexts?  * What evidence supports the validity of the assumptions underlying the theory of change in program countries? |
| 3. To inform SNI’s financial sustainability strategy by generating actionable insights for the diversification of funding, strategic partnerships, alternative funding sources, potential for income generation through services and fundraising opportunities at various levels. | Sustainability | 1. Are SNI’s contributions to improving knowledge networks, access, generation, sharing and application likely to be sustainable, in terms of:  * sustainability of the results * potential for financial sustainability (e.g. through fund-raising, co-funding or commercial potential of SNI’s products and services) * institutionalization of the potential changes in policy, practice and capacity |