

What matters to women: a systematic scoping review to identify the processes and outcomes of antenatal care provision that are important to healthy pregnant women

S Downe,^a K. Finlayson,^a Ö Tunçalp,^b A Metin Gülmezoglu^b

^a Research in Childbirth and Health (ReaCH) group, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK ^b Department of Reproductive Health and Research including UNDP/UNFPA/UNICEF/WHO/World Bank Special Programme of Research, Development and Research Training in Human Reproduction (HRP), World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Correspondence: S Downe, Research in Childbirth and Health (ReaCH) group, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, PR1 2HE, UK. Email SDowne@uclan.ac.uk

Accepted 5 October 2015. Published Online 24 December 2015.

Background Global uptake of antenatal care (ANC) varies widely and is influenced by the value women place on the service they receive. Identifying outcomes that matter to pregnant women could inform service design and improve uptake and effectiveness.

Objectives To undertake a systematic scoping review of what women want, need and value in pregnancy.

Search strategy Eight databases were searched (1994–2015) with no language restriction. Relevant journal contents were tracked via Zetoc.

Data collection and analysis An initial analytic framework was constructed with findings from 21 papers, using data-mining techniques, and then developed using meta-ethnographic approaches. The final framework was tested with 17 more papers.

Main results All continents except Australia were represented. A total of 1264 women were included. The final meta-theme was: *Women want and need a positive pregnancy experience*, including four subthemes: *maintaining physical and sociocultural normality*; *maintaining a healthy pregnancy for mother and baby* (including preventing and treating risks, illness and death); *effective transition to positive labour and birth*; and *achieving positive motherhood*

(including maternal self-esteem, competence, autonomy). Findings informed a framework for future ANC provision, comprising three equally important domains: clinical practices (interventions and tests); relevant and timely information; and psychosocial and emotional support; each provided by practitioners with good clinical and interpersonal skills within a high quality health system.

Conclusions A positive pregnancy experience matters across all cultural and sociodemographic contexts. ANC guidelines and services should be designed to deliver it, and those providing ANC services should be aware of it at each encounter with pregnant women.

Keywords Antenatal care, guidelines, pregnancy, social support, women's views, wordclouds, World Health Organization.

Tweetable abstract Women around the world want ANC staff and services to help them achieve a positive pregnancy experience.

Linked article This article is commented on by N van den Broek, p. 558 in this issue. To view this mini commentary visit <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.13937>.

Please cite this paper as: Downe S, Finlayson K, Tunçalp Ö, Metin Gülmezoglu A. What matters to women: a systematic scoping review to identify the processes and outcomes of antenatal care provision that are important to healthy pregnant women. *BJOG* 2016;123:529–539.

Introduction

Universal access to antenatal care (ANC) is one of the key indicators in the 2015 Millennium Goal 5.¹ The 2002 World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations for ANC provision are based on the findings of a rigorous systematic review.² They promote a package of at least four visits with evidence-based interventions through goal-oriented clinic visits. This is known as focused antenatal care (FANC).³

Since 2002, many low- and middle-income countries have adopted FANC into national policies, guidelines and institutional protocols. However, in 2012, only 52% of pregnant women had four or more ANC visits during pregnancy, an absolute increase of only 15% in 22 years.⁴ Lack of agreement about the optimal content, frequency and style of delivery of ANC may be a barrier to uptake if local ANC provision does not meet the needs and expectations of women and families. Indeed, marked coverage gaps occurred for rec-

ommended ANC procedures in most of the 41 countries reviewed in 2014.⁵ Arguably, healthcare programmes can increase the likelihood of uptake and, therefore, of health improvement, if they are designed on the basis of outcomes that matter to all relevant individuals, and if they provide care components that have the best chance of delivering those outcomes, in a way that is acceptable, accessible, and appropriate for the intended service users.⁶

The primary outcomes examined in the current Cochrane Review of trials of alternative versus standard packages of ANC for low-risk pregnancy encompass death and serious morbidity only.⁷ This suggests that what researchers and service providers think ANC is for, even for healthy women and babies, is the identification and prevention of pathology. Some researchers have measured 'satisfaction' and levels of attendance in relation to specific ANC programmes.^{8,9} However, studies of why women do not use ANC suggest that other, more complex, outcomes might also be important to them.^{10,11} The 2015 WHO ANC guideline development steering committee recognised the need to maximise uptake of ANC, by designing programmes and interventions that are acceptable and relevant to all pregnant women. To inform the guideline development process, the objective of this evidence synthesis of the qualitative literature was to describe what women in high-, medium- and low-income countries want and expect from ANC, based on their own accounts of their beliefs, views, expectations and experiences of pregnancy.

Methods

The study was a systematic scoping review, followed by an evidence synthesis of qualitative data using a Framework approach,¹² based on meta-ethnographic principles.¹³

Reflexive note

In keeping with quality standards for rigour in qualitative research, the authors considered their views and opinions on ANC as possible influences on the decisions made in the design and conduct of the study, and, in turn, on how the emerging results of the study influenced those views and opinions. All the authors believed at the outset that contact with formal and informal care givers throughout pregnancy was valuable, but that formal ANC provision is generally over-focused on clinical procedures and the assessment of risk/ill-health, with too little focus on the psychosocial aspects of pregnancy. Refutational analytical techniques were therefore used to minimise the risk that these pre-suppositions would skew the analysis and the interpretation of the findings.

Search strategy

The search terms covered the criteria of Context (antenatal or prenatal or antepartum [Ti or Ab]) ; Intervention (care or

clinic or outpatient\$ or education\$ or session\$ [Ti or Ab]); Topic (want or like or desire or expect\$ or anticipate\$ or view \$ or experience\$ or encounter\$ or belief [Ti or Ab]) and Study Type (qualitative or review or interview\$ or group or ethnograph\$ or phenomenol\$ or grounded + theory [Ti or Ab]).

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

No language restrictions were imposed. Studies published before 1994 were excluded, to ensure that the findings reflect the current generation of women who may encounter ANC. Studies were included if they reported women's views directly (and not through staff opinion, or observational data), and if these were the views of the general population of healthy women. To ensure that the data reflected the views and experiences of the general population of healthy women in any specific study setting, and that they were not focused on services that were actually available to them (which may or may not be what they actually wanted and/or needed), studies were excluded if they reported on views and experiences of specific ANC provision, or of specialist services that were not provided to the population of pregnant women, and/or on specific subgroups of women with particular health problems.

KF screened the initial hits against the inclusion criteria. Abstracts and full text papers were included based on consensus between at least two team members.

Data sources

Eight databases were searched. The first search was undertaken in May and June 2014 in six of the eight databases (Medline, PubMed, Cinahl, EMBASE, LILACS, AJOL). PsycInfo and AMED were searched in August 2014. Reference lists of included papers were scrutinised (back-chained). Zetoc alerts were set up for over 50 relevant journals, and these have continued to date. Papers generated by the secondary searches (PsycInfo and AMED) as well as the papers from the back-chaining and Zetoc alert processes were used as confirmatory data against the emerging themes from the main review.

Quality assessment

The included studies were subject to quality appraisal using the instrument developed by Walsh and Downe¹⁴ and modified by Downe et al.¹⁵ This is a simple appraisal system that rates studies against 11 criteria, and then allocates a score from A to D. Studies scoring D were excluded on quality grounds.

Scoring criteria for quality appraisal

A: No, or few flaws. The study credibility, transferability, dependability and confirmability are high

B: Some flaws, unlikely to affect the credibility, transferability, dependability and/or confirmability of the study

- C: Some flaws that may affect the credibility, transferability, dependability and/or confirmability of the study
 D: Significant flaws that are very likely to affect the credibility, transferability, dependability and/or confirmability of the study.

Analytic strategy

The analytic process was undertaken in three steps. A modified Framework Analytic approach was used to structure the data synthesis.¹²

In step one, the summarised findings from the studies included after the searches undertaken in May and June 2014 were entered into Google Wordle Open Source software, first with the complete set of text, and then minus words that related to the inclusion criteria for the studies, or their geographical location, and not the findings ('prenatal', 'pregnancy', 'women', 'health care', 'themes', 'American', 'African'). Text-mining software is increasingly sophisticated¹⁶ and the resulting visual word-clouds allowed for the rapid development of an inductively derived thematic framework that was then tested deductively with further sets of data from the total data set in steps two and three.

In step two, the initial framework was tested and further developed by mapping detailed findings of all the studies contributing to the data-mining outputs one by one against the framework elements. Meta-ethnographic analytic techniques¹³ of reciprocal and refutational translation were used to assess fit and relevance. The explanatory power of the framework was established using the CERQual approach based on methodological limitations, adequacy of data, coherence and relevance.¹⁷ This included an examination of the number of studies contributing to each element of the framework, and the quality and geographical spread of those studies.

In step three, studies emerging from the second set of searches (in PsychInfo and Amed) and papers from the back-chaining exercise and Zetoc alerts were used as the basis for confirmatory testing of the framework developed in steps one and two.

The findings were then translated into principles for future ANC provision, and were the basis of an associated new, woman-centred framework for audit and research in this area.

Results

Included studies

The initial search strategy generated 8205 hits, including 5781 from a single database (PubMed). To make the screening stage more manageable the results from PubMed were sorted by relevance and the first 1500 were screened by title. All of the hits from the remaining databases were included in the screening stage meaning that 4554 results were reviewed

by title. In all, 4185 studies were excluded at this stage primarily because they were deemed to be unrelated to the topic of interest. The remaining 369 studies were taken forward for abstract review and a further 167 were excluded at this stage. The reasons for exclusion were: representing the views of other stakeholders rather than women ($n = 49$); explicitly quantitative ($n = 72$); not deemed to be research studies ($n = 9$); not directly related to the topic ($n = 23$); duplicates ($n = 10$) and dissertations ($n = 4$). A total of 202 studies were therefore taken forward for full text review and, of these, a further 178 were excluded because they either represented the views of specific sub-populations, for example, women with HIV infection, women with a body mass index $>30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ($n = 114$), or they were concerned with a specific component of ANC, for example, fetal anomaly screening, HIV counselling, etc. ($n = 64$). After quality appraisal another three papers were removed. One was a systematic review evaluating women's experiences of ANC rather than their expectations,¹⁸ one was predominantly quantitative¹⁹ and one was about the factors affecting ANC use rather than what women want from services²⁰ (see Figure 1 for flowchart of included studies).

The second search generated 708 hits, of which, 578 were excluded by title, 46 at the abstract stage and 68 following full text review. Sixteen were therefore taken forward for quality assessment and three of these were excluded at this stage because they were predominantly quantitative.^{21–23} Full details of this search are shown in Figure 1). There were no additional studies from the Zetoc alerts and four studies were obtained from the back-chaining exercise. These four studies were assessed for quality and included in the confirmatory analysis. A total of 38 papers were therefore included in the analysis.^{24–61} These are coded 1–38 in the following tables, and these codes are given in square brackets at the end of each relevant reference in the reference list.

Characteristics and quality of included studies

Characteristics of the included studies were tabulated (see Table S1). The date range was 1994–2013. All regions of the world were represented except Australasia. By continent, the largest number of studies were based in North ($n = 13$) or South ($n = 8$) America. Six were from Africa, four each from Europe and Asia, two from the Middle East and one study included four countries (Cuba, Thailand, Argentina, Saudi Arabia).

The majority of the included studies used qualitative techniques, and most data were collected by individual interviews and/or focus or discussion groups. Sample size ranged from 5 to 164. The studies included women from a wide range of sociodemographic groups, and the overall age range was 13–49 years. The quality of most studies was fair to high (B or above).




Figure 1. Flow diagram of included studies.

The results of the data-mining process for all the findings text for the 21 papers located in the first search (studies 1–21 in the Table S1) are given in the (Figure S1). The results for the reduced set of text, are given in Figure 2.

The framework for analysis derived from the data-mining exercise, and amended following the comprehensive mapping of all the 21 papers in step two, is given in Tables 1 and 2, and in the (Table S2) (text in black in each table).

Step three: testing the analytic framework

The findings from the included studies at step three (studies 22–38) were then mapped to the amended framework to check that all the themes continue to have explanatory power, and to make sure no themes were missing [text in red in Tables 1 and 2, and in the (Table S2) represent the studies identified in step three]. As in the planned analytic strategy, this comprised both a reciprocal process (when the data could be mapped to the framework) and a refutational one (to check if any of the data could not be

mapped).¹³ The data from all the studies could be mapped to this final framework. The subthemes developed in step two were all supported by data from at least one of the studies in step three, except for availability of services.

What matters to women

A positive pregnancy experience emerged as a composite outcome from our results (Table 1). This was informed by four sub-themes or components (Table 1) that mattered to women in pregnancy, across countries, cultural groups and varying sociodemographics, namely: *maintaining physical and sociocultural normality*; *maintaining a healthy pregnancy for mother and baby* (including preventing and treating risks, illness and death); *effective transition to positive labour and birth*; and *achieving positive motherhood* (including maternal self-esteem, competence, autonomy).

The findings also informed a proposed design for a revised, woman-centred ANC service, comprising three domains: clinical care/therapeutic practices (biomedical interventions and tests, integrated with spiritual and reli-

Table 1. Final analytic framework (1): positive pregnancy experience

Themes	Subthemes	Studies including these themes (step two in black, step three in red)	Country/quality score (step two in black, step three in red)	Comment
Positive pregnancy Achievement/maintenance of optimal health and psycho-social wellbeing for mother and baby	Sociocultural normality	1, 6, 7, 11, 16, 17, 18, 24, 27, 31, 32, 34, 38	Turkey (B), Indonesia (B), Ghana (B), Taiwan (B), Gambia (B), Brazil (B) USA (B), Mozambique (B), UK (A), USA (B-), Swaziland (B), USA (B), Thailand (B+)	Even where pregnancy is unwanted, but kept. In some settings this is about demonstrably following the biomedical model, in others it is the opposite
	Healthy pregnancy/normal birth/healthy baby	1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 18, 19, 23, 25, 28, 32, 34, 36, 37, 38	Turkey (B), Vietnam (B), USA (B), Indonesia (B), Ghana (B), Ghana (B-), Brazil (C), Taiwan (B), Jordan (C), USA (B), USA (B), USA (B), Argentina (C-), Sweden (B), Swaziland (B), USA (B), Canada (B), Finland (B-), Thailand (B+)	Including support and promotion of wellbeing and prevention of death and morbidity in mother and baby
	Effective transition through the childbirth continuing, including positive labour and birth	4, 9, 13, 19, 21, 28, 30, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38	USA (B), Brazil (C), Mexico (B), USA (B), Canada (B), Sweden (B), Brazil (B), USA (B), Brazil (B), Canada (B), Canada (B), Finland (B-), Thailand (B+)	Even where pregnancy is unwanted, but kept. Including being validated in her beliefs, social circumstances, interpretations of the health or otherwise of her pregnancy based on embodied/cultural experiences and norms
	Positive mothering, maternal self-esteem, competence, autonomy	18, 9, 11, 17, 18, 21, 23, 28, 34, 37	USA (B), Brazil (C), Taiwan (B), Brazil (B), USA (B), Canada (B), USA (B), Sweden (B), USA (B), Canada (B), Finland (B-)	Including validation of embodied experiences and interpretations

fic model and country setting (midwifery led ANC in one Irish clinic),⁶⁵ providing external evidence that the findings are comprehensive and transferable. Each of the domains emerging from the analysis mapped to a large number of studies, from a range of cultural, linguistic and income-level settings, and so the final results can be accepted for most contexts with high confidence. Methodologically, the use of word clouds to explore large amounts of qualitative data is relatively new and our approach demonstrates how these may be used to integrate findings from qualitative research into evidence-based practice.

Interpretation

As part of the core data set of maternity care outcomes and current WHO-led initiatives to improve quality of care for pregnant women and newborns⁶⁶ we propose that ‘pos-

itive pregnancy experience’ should be operationalised, either by mapping to existing tools and techniques that measure the four components identified, or by developing new instruments. These may include individually tailored Quality of Life tools such as the Mother Generated Index.⁶⁷ These indicators should then be used for the evaluation of any future guidelines, interventions or programmes developed for ANC provision.

We suggest that the active provision of social support should occur both in formal care settings, and in communities. This could be done by including service design (incorporating the environment where care is delivered) and delivery approaches that provide psycho-social and emotional support for staff and service users, and that enhance physiological processes, hope and positive feelings, to help women to understand and deal with normal changes in pregnancy, and to prepare actively for labour, birth and mothering.

Table 2. Final analytic framework (2): core components for effective ANC provision

Themes	Subthemes	Studies including these themes (step two in black, step three in red)	Country/quality score (step two in black, step three in red)	Comment
Care practices	Traditional/spiritual/religious	1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 24, 27, 28, 32, 33, 34, 38	Turkey (B), Indonesia (B), Ghana (B-), Ghana (C-), Brazil (C), Taiwan (B), Jordan (C), Mexico (B), Brazil (C+), USA (B), Gambia (B), Brazil (B); USA (B), Mixed (C-), Mozambique (B), UK (A), Sweden (B), Swaziland (B), Mexico (A-), USA (B) Thailand (B+)	Including prayer and traditional remedies to reduce spiritual threat, power of religious belief in dictating pregnancy norms, religious fasting during pregnancy. Including awakening sense of (nonreligious) spirituality. In some cases, fatalism (adverse outcomes are 'Gods will')
	Biomedical/clinical	1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, 20, 23, 24, 30, 31, 33, 35, 37	Turkey (B), USA (B), Sweden (B), Indonesia (B), Ghana (B), (Ghana (B-), Brazil (C), (Brazil (B-), Brazil (C+), USA (B), Gambia (B), Mixed (C-), USA (B), Mozambique (B), Brazil (B), USA (B-), Mexico (A-), Brazil (B), Finland (B-)	Some studies note women like ultrasound scans to decrease anxiety/increase a sense of the reality of the baby (sometimes for detection of fetal gender)
	Integration of traditional and biomedical	6, 7, 8, 12, 15, 20, 24, 31, 32, 33, 34, 38	Indonesia (B), Ghana (B), Ghana (B-), Jordan (C), USA (B), USA (B), Mozambique (B), USA (B-) Swaziland (B), Mexico (A-), USA (B), Thailand (B+)	
Information	Physiological	2, 5, 7, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 23, 25, 27, 30, 31, 33, 34, 36, 37	Vietnam (B), Sweden (B), Ghana (B), Brazil (B-), Taiwan (B), Jordan (C), Brazil (C+), USA (B), Brazil (B), USA (B), Mixed (C-), Canada (B), USA (B), Argentina (C-), UK (A), Brazil (B), USA (B-), Mexico (A-), USA (B), Canada (B), Finland (B-)	Including recognition of importance of and ways of dealing with minor disorders of pregnancy; and advice about optimum maternal nutrition (what kinds of food, how to prepare and cook it and etc.), and what to do about religious fasting; (how to restore) negative body image re physical changes; and interpreting wellbeing/illness through embodied physical sensations: sought from formal caregivers and/or relatives/friends/cultural norms
	Biomedical	2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20, 30, 31, 36, 37	Vietnam (B), USA (B), Sweden (B), Ghana (B), Brazil (C), Jordan (C), Mexico (B), Brazil (C+), USA (B), Gambia (B), Mixed (C-), Brazil (B), USA (B-), Canada (B), Finland (B-)	Sometimes overriding physiological knowledge and sensations, sometimes balanced with them (even when these are apparently in conflict; which can lead to tension and a sense of guilt)

Table 2. (Continued)

Themes	Subthemes	Studies including these themes (step two in black, step three in red)	Country/quality score (step two in black, step three in red)	Comment
	Behavioural/sociocultural	2, 7, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 31, 32, 33, 36, 37	Vietnam (B), Sweden (B), Ghana (B), Taiwan (B), Jordan (C), Brazil (C+), USA (B), Gambia (B), Brazil (B), USA (B), Canada (B), USA (B-), Swaziland (B), Mexico (A-), Canada (B), Finland (B-)	Including how to care for the baby/how to be healthy/dealing and/or integrating with local sociocultural norms/cross-generational experiential information; sought from formal and informal sources
Support	Social	1, 5, 8, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 35, 37,	Turkey (B), Sweden (B), Jordan (C), Ghana (B-), USA (B), Gambia (B), USA (B), Canada (B), USA (B), Sweden (B), USA (B), Brazil (B), Mexico (A-), USA (B), Brazil (B), Finland (B-),	Including 'being pampered'/friendship, support from fathers of baby/family, (help when they are rejected by) partners/families/friends/society, social support of groups (formal and informal), positive relationships, knowing people care about you
	Cultural	1, 6, 8, 7, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 25, 27, 32, 33, 34, 35, 38	Turkey (B), Indonesia (B), Ghana (B-), Ghana (B), Taiwan (B), Jordan (C), USA (B), Gambia (B), Brazil (B), USA (B), Mozambique (B), Argentina (C-), UK (A), Swaziland (B), Mexico (A-), USA (B), Brazil (B), Thailand (B+)	Including (support for/resistance to) cultural norms
	Emotional	5, 6, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 24, 28, 29, 30, 31, 34, 35, 36, 37	Sweden (B), Indonesia (B), Ghana (B-), Brazil (C), Jordan (C), Mexico (B), Brazil (C+), Gambia (B), Brazil (B), USA (B), USA (B), Mozambique (B), Sweden (B), USA (B), Brazil (B), USA (B-), USA (B), Brazil (B), Canada (B), Finland (B-)	Including emotional support for fathers; for women with unwanted pregnancies, for those who fear death in childbirth (or who have other fears, including of the evil eye/husbands leaving them if the pregnancy is disclosed but does not turn out well); including emotional sensations as guides for wellbeing/healthy pregnancy or otherwise. Building/reinforcing positive relationships; knowing you are cared about/for
	Psychological	5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 24, 26, 28, 30, 37	Sweden (B), Ghana (B), Ghana (B-), Brazil (C), Taiwan (B), Jordan (C), Brazil (C+), USA (B), Gambia (B), USA (B), Brazil (B), USA (B), Canada (B), Mozambique (B), Brazil (B), Sweden (B), Brazil (B), Finland (B-)	Including 'being lonely/alone' and need for support to reduce perceived spiritual threat/actual social threat, or to deal with frightening dreams/intrusive thoughts: the effects of previous traumatic experiences

We also propose that provision of information in pregnancy should include physiological, behavioural, social, cultural and biomedical components, and it should value embodied and cultural knowledge, as well as biomedical evidence. It should be tailored to the needs of the particular woman at the specific time in her pregnancy when that particular information is needed, and it should be given in a manner and through a medium that is comprehensible and accessible for her.

Our interpretation of what might work to deliver a positive pregnancy experience is compatible with the new WHO quality of care framework for maternal and newborn health,⁶⁶ which incorporates evidence-based practice for routine care and management of complications, effective communication, emotional support, respect and dignity, provided within a functional health system that allows access to care, with the aim of increasing desirable people-centred outcomes. These elements also underpin the Lancet Quality Maternal and Newborn Care framework,⁶⁸ suggesting that they might apply across the maternity episode, and not just in the antenatal period.

There is some *a priori* evidence that the three proposed ANC domains identified in Table 2 (care practices, information and support) might be acceptable to pregnant women, on the basis of positive evaluations of group ANC, which is designed to maximise social support as well as clinical provision.⁶⁹ Indeed, community women's groups that are set up in pregnancy and continue postpartum have delivered remarkable results in reducing neonatal and maternal morbidity in a range of low-income settings.⁷⁰ Examination of the active mechanisms of these groups suggest that they include health education, confidence building, information dissemination, and increasing community capacity for action.⁷¹ A shift towards an integrated ANC model that gives equal weight, resources and time to tests and interventions, information and support, may, therefore, have positive effects on both physical and psychosocial wellbeing.

Conclusions

A positive pregnancy experience is important for women in a range of cultural and sociodemographic contexts. The four components of positive pregnancy experience identified in this review should be included in ANC research. Antenatal care guidelines and programmes should include packages of care designed to encompass these components. Future research could test the capacity of ANC based on care practices, information and support to deliver a positive pregnancy experience. All service providers (medical, midwifery and nursing professionals and lay health workers, in hospitals, health centres and local communities) should consider how they can work with women, families, local communities, and with each other, to provide care that results in this

outcome, to ensure optimal uptake of ANC services, and to maximise wellbeing for mothers and newborns.

Disclosure of Interest

Full disclosure of interests available to view online as supporting information.

Contribution to authorship

OT had the idea for the review, under the overall leadership of MG. SD led the review, and did the detailed design and coordination. SD, KF and OT undertook the analysis and interpretation of the data. All authors contributed to the development and finalisation of the paper.

Details of ethics approval

Not required.

Funding

This review was made possible by Grant Number OPP1084319 from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.

Acknowledgements

This paper was written as part of the Adding Content to Contact project, which was made possible by Grant Number OPP1084319 from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, and was a collaboration between the Maternal Health Task Force and Department of Global Health and Population at the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, HRP/WHO and ICS Integrate.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Figure S1. Data-mining results from all findings text in 21 included papers.

Table S1. Characteristics and quality of included studies.

Table S2. Final analytic framework (3): underpinning factors for effective ANC provision. ■

References

- 1 United Nations 2015 Millennium Development Goals (5B). [www.un.org/millenniumgoals/]. Accessed 22 March 2015.
- 2 Carroli G, Villar J, Piaggio G, Khan-Neelofur D, Gülmezoglu M, Mugford M, et al. WHO systematic review of randomised controlled trials of routine antenatal care. *Lancet* 2001;357:1565–70.
- 3 World Health Organisation. *WHO Antenatal Care Randomized Trial. Manual for the Implementation of the New Model*. World Health Organisation, 2002.
- 4 The Millennium Development Goals Report, 2014; United Nations. [www.un.org/millenniumgoals/2014%20MDG%20report/MDG%202014%20English%20web.pdf]. Accessed 05 October 2015.

- 5 Hodgins S, D'Agostino A. The quality-coverage gap in antenatal care: toward better measurement of effective coverage. *Glob Health Sci Pract* 2014; first published online 8 April 2014. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.9745/GHSP-D-13-00176>]. Accessed 05 October 2015.
- 6 Che Chi P, Bulage P, Urdal H, Sundby J. A qualitative study exploring the determinants of maternal health service uptake in post-conflict Burundi and Northern Uganda. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2015;15:18.
- 7 Dowswell T, Carroli G, Duley L, Gates S, Gülmezoglu AM, Khan-Neelofur D, et al. Alternative versus standard packages of antenatal care for low-risk pregnancy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;(7): Art. No.: CD000934.
- 8 Chemir F, Alemseged F, Workneh D. Satisfaction with focused antenatal care service and associated factors among pregnant women attending focused antenatal care at health centers in Jimma town, Jimma zone, South West Ethiopia; a facility based cross-sectional study triangulated with qualitative study. *BMC Res Notes* 2014;7:164.
- 9 Gupta S, Yamada G, Mpenbeni R, Frumence G, Callaghan-Koru JA, Stevenson R, et al. Factors associated with four or more antenatal care visits and its decline among pregnant women in Tanzania between 1999 and 2010. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e101893.
- 10 Downe S, Finlayson K, Walsh D, Lavender T. 'Weighing up and balancing out': a meta-synthesis of barriers to antenatal care for marginalised women in 'high-income countries'. *BJOG* 2009;116:518–29.
- 11 Finlayson K, Downe S. Why do women not use antenatal services in low- and middle-income countries? A meta-synthesis of qualitative studies *PLoS Med* 2013;10:e1001373.
- 12 Smith J, Firth J. Qualitative data analysis: the framework approach, based on meta-ethnographic principles. *Nurse Res* 2011;18:52–62.
- 13 Noblitt GW, Hare RD. *Meta-Ethnography: Synthesizing Qualitative Studies*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage, 1988.
- 14 Walsh D, Downe S. Appraising the quality of qualitative research. *Midwifery* 2006;22:108–19.
- 15 Downe S, Simpson L, Trafford K. Expert intrapartum maternity care: a meta-synthesis. *J Adv Nursing* 2007;57:127–40.
- 16 Weichselbraun A, Gindl S, Scharl A. Enriching semantic knowledge bases for opinion mining in big data applications. *Knowl Based Syst* 2014;69:78–85.
- 17 CERQual – Confidence in the Evidence from Reviews of Qualitative Research. [<http://cerqual.org/whatisthecqualapproach>]. Accessed 4 August 2015.
- 18 Novick G. Women's experience of prenatal care: an integrative review. *J Midwif Womens Health* 2009;54:226–37.
- 19 Gress-Smith JL, Roubinov DS, Tanaka R, Cmic K, Gonzales N, Enders C, et al. Prenatal expectations in Mexican American women: development of a culturally sensitive measure. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 2013;16:303–14.
- 20 Andrew EV, Pell C, Angwin A, Auwun A, Daniels J, Mueller I, et al. Factors affecting attendance at and timing of formal antenatal care: results from a qualitative study in Madang, Papua New Guinea. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e93025.
- 21 Heaman M, Moffatt M, Elliott L, Sword W, Helewa ME, Morris H, et al. Barriers, motivators and facilitators related to prenatal care utilization among inner-city women in Winnipeg, Canada: a case-control study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2014;14:227.
- 22 Solarin I, Black V. 'They told me to come back': women's antenatal care booking experience in inner-city Johannesburg. *Matern Child Health J* 2013;17:359–67.
- 23 Schaffer MA, Lia-Hoagberg B. Prenatal care among low-income women. *Families in Society* 1994;75:152–9.
- 24 Tasci-Duran E, Sevil U. A Comparison of the prenatal health behaviors of women from four cultural groups in Turkey: an ethnographic study. *Nursing Sci Q* 2013;26:257–66.
- 25 Graner S, Klingberg-Allvin M, Duong IQ, Krantz G, Mogren I. Pregnant women's perception on signs and symptoms during pregnancy and maternal health care in a rural low-resource setting. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2013;92:1094–100.
- 26 Theroux R, Toft Klar R, Messenger L. Working hard: women's self-care practices in Ghana. *Health Care for Women Int* 2013;34:651–73.
- 27 Bergman A, Connaughton SL. What is patient-centered care really? Voices of Hispanic prenatal patients *Health Commun* 2013;28:789–99.
- 28 Widarsson M, Kerstis B, Sundquist K, Engström G, Sarkadi A. Support needs of expectant mothers and fathers: a qualitative study. *J Perinat Educ* 2012;21:36–44.
- 29 Agus Y, Horiuchi S, Porter SE. Rural Indonesia women's traditional beliefs about antenatal care. *BMC Res Notes* 2012;5:589.
- 30 Farnes C, Beckstrand RL, Callister LC. Help-seeking behaviours in childbearing women in Ghana, West Africa. *Int Nursing Rev* 2011;58:491–7.
- 31 Dako-Gyeke P, Aikins M, Aryeetey R, Mccough L, Adongo PB. The influence of socio-cultural interpretations of pregnancy threats on health-seeking behaviour among pregnant women in urban Accra, Ghana. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2013;13:211.
- 32 Bonadio IC. Being treated as a human being. Experiences of patients in a prenatal service of a philanthropic institution. *Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da U S P* 1998;32:9–15.
- 33 Santos AL, Radovanovic CAT, Marcon SS. Prenatal care: satisfaction and expectations. *Rev RENE* 2011;11(n.esp): 61–71.
- 34 Chang SR, Kenney NJ, Chao YM. Transformation in self-identity amongst Taiwanese women in late pregnancy: a qualitative study. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2010;47:60–6.
- 35 Safadi R. Jordanian women: perceptions and practices of first-time pregnancy. *Int J Nurs Practice* 2005;11:269–76.
- 36 Camarena L, von Glascoe C. Alternative perspectives of quality of prenatal care in Chihuahua, Mexico. *Rev Fac Nac Salud Pública* 2007;25:40–49 Medellín.
- 37 Dioz M. How prenatal care is seen by pregnant women. *REME Rev Min Enf* 2006;10:369–73.
- 38 Morgan M. Prenatal care of African American women in selected USA urban and rural cultural contexts. *J Transcult Nurs* 1996;7:3–9.
- 39 Stokes E, Dumbaya I, Owens S, Brabin L. The right to remain silent: a qualitative study of the medical and social ramifications of pregnancy disclosure for Gambian women. *BJOG* 2008;115:1641–7.
- 40 Jeneral RBR, Hoga LAK. The uncertainty of the future: the experience of pregnancy in a Brazilian low income community. *Rev Mineira Enfermagem* 2004;8:268–74.
- 41 Baxley SM. Anticipating mothering in the Mexican origin woman. *Hispanic Health Care Int* 2012;10:75–83.
- 42 Lori JR, Yi CH, Martyn KK. Provider characteristics desired by African American women in prenatal care. *J Transcult Nurs* 2011;22:71–6.
- 43 Nigenda G, Langer A, Kuchaisit C, Romero M, Rojas G, Al-Osimy M, et al. Women's opinions on antenatal care in developing countries: results of a study in Cuba, Thailand, Saudi Arabia and Argentina. *BMC Public Health* 2003;3:17.
- 44 McNeil DA, Vekved M, Dolan SM, Siever J, Horn S, Tough SC. Getting more than they realized they needed: a qualitative study of women's experience of group prenatal care. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2012;12:17.
- 45 Bender DE, Harbour C, Thorp J, Morris P. Tell Me What you Mean by 'Sf': perceptions of quality of prenatal care among immigrant Latina women. *Qual Health Res* 2001;11:780–94.
- 46 Bessett D. Negotiating normalization: the perils of producing pregnancy symptoms in prenatal care. *Social Sci Med* 2010;71:370–7.

- 47 Chapman R. Endangering safe motherhood in Mozambique: prenatal care as pregnancy risk. *Social Sci Med* 2003;57:355–74.
- 48 Hess CM, Maughan E. Understandings of prenatal nutrition among argentine women. *Health Care Women Int* 2012;33:153–67.
- 49 Melleiro MM, Gualda DMR. Pregnant women's experiences and expressions related to the interaction with the health system: a photoethnographic approach. *Rev Latino-am Enfermagem* 2004;12:503–10.
- 50 Miller T. Exploring the influence of cultural traditions and religious beliefs of Bangladeshi women on antenatal interactions. *Women's Stud Int Forum* 1995;18:299–309.
- 51 Modh C, Lundgren I, Bergbom I. First time pregnant women's experiences in early pregnancy. *Int J Qual Stud Health Wellbeing* 2011;6:5600.
- 52 Novick G, Sadler LS, Knafel KA, Groce NE, Powell-Kennedy H. The intersection of everyday life and group prenatal care for women in two urban clinics. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2012;23:589–603.
- 53 Piccinini CA, de CF, Ourique LR, Lopes RS. Perceptions and feelings of pregnant women concerning prenatal care. *Psicologia: Teoria e Pesquisa* 2012;28:27–33.
- 54 Root R, Browner CH. Practices of the pregnant self: compliance with and resistance to prenatal norms. *Culture Med Psychiatry* 2001;25:195–223.
- 55 Thawla SBP, Jones LK, Holroyd E. Swaziland rural maternal care: ethnography of the interface of custom and biomedicine. *Int J Nursing Practice* 2011;17:93–101.
- 56 Tinoco-Ojanguren R, Glantz NM, Martinez-Hernandez I, Ovando-Meza I. Risk screening, emergency care, and lay concepts of complications during pregnancy in Chiapas, Mexico. *Social Sci Med* 2008;66:1057–69.
- 57 Domian EW. Cultural practices and social support of pregnant women in a northern New Mexico community. *J Nursing Scholarship* 2001;33:331–6.
- 58 Zampieri MFM, Erdmann AL. Humane prenatal care: beyond convergences and divergences. *Rev bras saúde matern infant* 2010;10:359–67.
- 59 Neiterman E. Pregnant bodies in social context: natural, disruptive, and unrecognized pregnancy. *Symbolic Interaction* 2013;36:335–50.
- 60 Bondas T, Eriksson K. Women's lived experiences of pregnancy: a tapestry of joy and suffering. *Qual Health Res* 2001;11:824–40.
- 61 Liamputtong P, Yimyam S, Parisunyakul S, Baosoung C, Sansiriphun N. Traditional beliefs about pregnancy and child birth among women from Chiang Mai, Northern Thailand. *Midwifery* 2005;21:139–53.
- 62 Khan K. The CROWN Initiative: journal editors invite researchers to develop core outcomes in women's health. *BJOG* 2014;121:1181–2.
- 63 Clark K, Beatty S, Reibel T. What women want: using image theory to develop expectations of maternity care framework. *Midwifery* 2015;31:505–11.
- 64 Clark K, Beatty S, Reibel T. Maternity care: a narrative overview of what women expect across their care continuum. *Midwifery* 2015;31:432–7.
- 65 Butler MM, Brosnan MC, Drennan J, Feeney P, Gavigan O, Kington M, et al. Evaluating midwifery-led antenatal care: using a programme logic model to identify relevant outcomes. *Midwifery* 2014;30:e34–41.
- 66 Tunçalp O, Were W, MacLennan C, Oladapo OT, Gulmezoglu AM, Bahl R, et al. Quality of care for pregnant women and newborns—The WHO vision. *BJOG* 2015;122:1045–9.
- 67 Symon AG, Dobb BR. An exploratory study to assess the acceptability of an antenatal quality-of-life instrument (the Mother-generated Index). *Midwifery* 2008;24:442–50.
- 68 Renfrew MJ, McFadden A, Bastos MH, Campbell J, Channon AA, Cheung NF, et al. Midwifery and quality care: findings from a new evidence-informed maternity care framework. *Lancet* 2014;384:1129–45.
- 69 Catling CJ, Medley N, Foureur M, Ryan C, Leap N, Teate A, et al. Group versus conventional antenatal care for women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015;(2):CD007622.
- 70 Prost A, Colbourn T, Seward N, Azad K, Coomarasamy A, Copas A, et al. Women's groups practising participatory learning and action to improve maternal and newborn health in low-resource settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2013;381:1736–46.
- 71 Morrison J, Thapa R, Hartley S, Osrin D, Manandhar M, Tumbahangphe K, et al. Understanding how women's groups improve maternal and newborn health in Makwanpur, Nepal: a qualitative study. *Int Health* 2010;2:25–35.